



Leveraging Sentiment to Compute Word Similarity

Balamurali A.R., Subhabrata Mukherjee, Akshat Malu and Pushpak
Bhattacharyya

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering, IIT Bombay

6th International Global Wordnet Conference

GWC 2011,

Matsue, Japan, Jan, 2012

Motivation

Motivation

3

- Introduce Sentiment as another feature in the Semantic Similarity Measure
 - *“Among a set of a similar word pairs, a pair is more similar if their sentiment content is the same”*
 - Is “*enchant*” (hold spellbound) more similar to “*endear*” (make endearing or lovable) than to “*delight*” (give pleasure to or be pleasing to) ?

Motivation

4

- Introduce Sentiment as another feature in the Semantic Similarity Measure
 - *“Among a set of a similar word pairs, a pair is more similar if their sentiment content is the same”*
 - Is “*enchant*” (hold spellbound) more similar to “*endear*” (make endearing or lovable) than to “*delight*” (give pleasure to or be pleasing to) ?
- Useful for replacing an unknown feature in test set with a similar feature in training set

Motivation

5

- Introduce Sentiment as another feature in the Semantic Similarity Measure
 - ▣ “Among a set of a similar word pairs, a pair is more similar if their sentiment content is the same”
 - ▣ Is “*enchant*” (hold spellbound) more similar to “*endear*” (make endearing or lovable) than to “*delight*” (give pleasure to or be pleasing to) ?
- Useful for replacing an unknown feature in test set with a similar feature in training set
- Given a **word** in a sentence, create its *Similarity Vector*
 - ▣ Use Word Sense Disambiguation on context to find its **Synset-id**
 - ▣ Create a **Gloss Vector** (*sparse*) using its gloss
 - ▣ Extend gloss using *relevant WordNet Relations*
 - **Learn the relations to use for different POS tags and the depth in WordNet hierarchy**
 - ▣ Incorporate SentiWordNet Scores in the Expanded Vector using Different Scoring

Motivation

6

- Introduce Sentiment as another feature in the Semantic Similarity Measure
 - ▣ “Among a set of a similar word pairs, a pair is more similar if their sentiment content is the same”
 - ▣ Is “*enchant*” (hold spellbound) more similar to “*endear*” (make endearing or lovable) than to “*delight*” (give pleasure to or be pleasing to) ?
- Useful for replacing an unknown feature in test set with a similar feature in training set
- Given a **word** in a sentence, create its *Similarity Vector*
 - ▣ Use Word Sense Disambiguation on context to find its **Synset-id**
 - ▣ Create a **Gloss Vector** (*sparse*) using its gloss
 - ▣ Extend gloss using *relevant WordNet Relations*
 - **Learn the relations to use for different POS tags and the depth in WordNet hierarchy**
 - ▣ Incorporate SentiWordNet Scores in the Expanded Vector using Different Scoring

Sentiment-Semantic Correlation

7

Annotation Strategy	Overall	NOUN	VERB	ADJECTIVES	ADVERBS
Meaning	0.768	0.803	0.750	0.527	0.759
Meaning + Sentiment	0.799	0.750	0.889	0.720	0.844

WordNet Relations used for Expansion

8

POS	WordNet relations used for expansion
Nouns	<i>hypernym, hyponym, nominalization</i>
Verbs	<i>nominalization, hypernym, hyponym</i>
Adjectives	<i>also see, nominalization, attribute</i>
Adverbs	<i>derived</i>

Scoring Formula

9

- $\text{Score}_{\text{SD}}(A) = \text{SWN}_{\text{pos}}(A) - \text{SWN}_{\text{neg}}(A)$
- $\text{Score}_{\text{SM}}(A) = \max(\text{SWN}_{\text{pos}}(A), \text{SWN}_{\text{neg}}(A))$
- $\text{Score}_{\text{TM}}(A) =$
 $\text{sign}(\max(\text{SWN}_{\text{pos}}(A), \text{SWN}_{\text{neg}}(A))) * (1 + \text{abs}(\max(\text{SWN}_{\text{pos}}(A), \text{SWN}_{\text{neg}}(A))))$

$$\text{SenSim}_x(A, B) = \text{cosine}(\text{gloss}_{\text{vec}}(\text{sense}(A)), \text{gloss}_{\text{vec}}(\text{sense}(B)))$$

Where,

$$\text{gloss}_{\text{vec}} = 1:\text{score}_x(1) \ 2:\text{score}_x(2) \ \dots \ n:\text{score}_x(n)$$

$\text{score}_x(Y)$ = Sentiment score of word Y using scoring function x

x = Scoring function of type SD/SM/TD/TM

Evaluation on Gold Standard Data: Word Pair Similarity

Evaluation on Gold Standard Data: Word Pair Similarity

11

- A set of 50 word pairs (with given context) manually marked
- Each word pair is given 3 scores in the form of ratings (1-5):
 - Similarity based on meaning
 - Similarity based on sentiment
 - Similarity based on meaning + sentiment

Evaluation on Gold Standard Data: Word Pair Similarity

12

- A set of 50 word pairs (with given context) manually marked
- Each word pair is given 3 scores in the form of ratings (1-5):
 - Similarity based on meaning
 - Similarity based on sentiment
 - Similarity based on meaning + sentiment

Agreement metric: Pearson correlation coefficient

Evaluation on Gold Standard Data: Word Pair Similarity

13

- A set of 50 word pairs (with given context) manually marked
- Each word pair is given 3 scores in the form of ratings (1-5):
 - Similarity based on meaning
 - Similarity based on sentiment
 - Similarity based on meaning + sentiment

Agreement metric: Pearson correlation coefficient

Metric Used	Overall	NOUN	VERB	ADJECTIVES	ADVERBS
LESK (Banerjee <i>et al.</i> , 2003)	0.22	0.51	-0.91	0.19	0.37
LIN (Lin, 1998)	0.27	0.24	0.00	NA	Na
LCH (Leacock <i>et al.</i> , 1998)	0.36	0.34	0.44	NA	NA
SenSim (SD)	0.46	0.73	0.55	0.08	0.76
SenSim (SM)	0.50	0.62	0.48	0.06	0.54
SenSim (TD)	0.45	0.73	0.55	0.08	0.59
SenSim (TM)	0.48	0.62	0.48	0.06	0.78

Evaluation on Travel Review Data: Feature Replacement

14

Metric Used	Accuracy (%)	PP	NP	PR	NR
Baseline	89.10	91.50	87.07	85.18	91.24
LESK (Banerjee <i>et al.</i> , 2003)	89.36	91.57	87.46	85.68	91.25
LIN (Lin, 1998)	89.27	91.24	87.61	85.85	90.90
LCH (Leacock <i>et al.</i> , 1998)	89.64	90.48	88.86	86.47	89.63
SenSim (SD)	89.95	91.39	88.65	87.11	90.93
SenSim (SM)	90.06	92.01	88.38	86.67	91.58
SenSim (TD)	90.11	91.68	88.69	86.97	91.23